

1 Evan E. Sumer (State Bar No. 329181)
2 **THE LAW OFFICE OF EVAN SUMER**
3 145 Corte Madera Town Ctr., #464
4 Corte Madera, CA 94925
5 Telephone: (415) 294-1966
6 Email: ems@consumerlaw.us

7 Attorney for Plaintiff
8 ORLANDO SALAS

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11
12 ORLANDO SALAS, individually and
13 on behalf of all others similarly
14 situated,

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION and
18 AIG WARRANTYGUARD, INC.,

19 Defendants.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.: 5:23-cv-01549-AB-DTBx

**NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF
OTHER ACTION (L.R. 83-1.4)**

Date:

Time:

Judge: Hon. André Birotte Jr.

Location:

1 Plaintiff hereby gives notice of a related case that was filed on May 10, 2024
2 against Defendants AIG WARRANTYGUARD, INC., and WHIRLPOOL
3 CORPORATION arising out of similar business practices by Defendants as those
4 alleged in this action.

5 a) The related case is entitled HADASSAH SHELLENBERGER v. AIG
6 WARRANTYGUARD, INC., & WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION. (Case No.
7 2:24-cv-00657)

8 b) The related case was filed in the United States District Court for the
9 Western District of Washington.

10 c) The parties include Plaintiff HADASSAH SHELLENBERGER;
11 Defendant AIG WARRANTYGUARD, INC.; and Defendant WHIRLPOOL
12 CORPORATION.

13 d) Plaintiff HADASSAH SHELLENBERGER is jointly represented in
14 the related action by the undersigned and attorneys Gregory W. Albert and
15 Tallman H. Trask, IV of Albert Law, PLLC with offices at 3131 Western Ave.,
16 Suite 410, Seattle, WA 98121 and the telephone number (206) 576-8044. As of
17 the date of this notice, no Defendant has appeared in the related case.

18 e) The related case and the subject matter of this litigation materially
19 overlap in that both cases are putative class actions alleging that Defendants
20 violated state consumer protection statutes in the course of their collaboration to
21 market, sell, and administer Service Plans to consumers under brand names owned
22 by Whirlpool by misrepresenting to consumers the benefits available under the
23 Service Plans. The plaintiff in each of the lawsuits alleges that he or she ended up
24 purchasing Defendants' Service Plans in reliance of Defendants' representations
25 and later discovered that the Service Plans offered benefits that were materially
26 different than Defendants' representations. The plaintiff in each of the cases
27 alleges that he or she was harmed in a similar manner as a result of Defendants'
28

1 practices. Therefore, the two cases involve substantially similar questions of fact
2 and are likely to have discovery needs that will overlap to a great extent.

3
4 DATED: May 14, 2024

THE LAW OFFICE OF EVAN SUMER

5
6 By: /s/ Evan E. Sumer
7 Evan E. Sumer

8 145 Corte Madera Town Ctr., #464
9 Corte Madera, CA 94925
10 Telephone: (415) 294-1966
11 Email: ems@consumerlaw.us

12 Attorney for Plaintiff
13 ORLANDO SALAS
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28